

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,137	04/20/2005	Chieko Mihara	03500.017741	6757
	7590 05/24/200 CELLA HARPER &	EXAMINER		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			MESH, GENNADIY	
NEW YORK, I	NEW YORK, NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1711		
				···
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(a)
Office Action Summany		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/532,137	MIHARA ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	The BEAU INC DATE FOR	Gennadiy Mesh	1711
Period fo	<ul> <li>The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply</li> </ul>	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
WHI( - Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we use to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ag	oril 2005.	
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.	
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the ments is
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Applicati	ion Papers		
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)⊠ a)ĺ	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachmen	• •	<u>-</u>	
2)  Notic 3)  Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te

Art Unit: 1711

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant Amendment filed on April 20,2007 is acknowledged.

Affidavit submitted by Applicant with respect to priority of claimed subject matter in Claims 1-13 is accepted by Examiner, therefore rejection of Claims 1-13 under 35 USC 102(a) is withdrawn.

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

1. Claims 1-13 of Application No.10/532,137 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-17 of U.S. Patent No. 7,153,622. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claimed subject matter claimed in Claims 1-4 of concerning Application No.10/532,137 significantly overlapping in scope

Art Unit: 1711

with claimed subject mater of US. Patent No. 7,153,622 (claims 1- 17): chemical structures of PHA copolymers claimed in both documents are same with same area of use claimed PHA for toner composition. Note that US Patent No. 7,153,622 claimed the same PHA copolymers as disclosed in EP 1253475 (see rejection under 35 USC 102(a) in previous Office action mailed on Jan 22,2007).

Page 3

2. Claims1-13 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1- 3 of copending Application No. 10/532136. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claimed subject matter claimed in Claims 1-4 of concerning Application No.10/532,137 significantly overlapping in scope with claimed subject mater of claims 1-3 of the copending Application No. 10/532136: specific PHA structure claimed in concerning Application "137" - see formula (1) could comprise same unit as claimed in formula (6) of copending Application "136".

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on April 20,2007 have been fully considered, but they are not persuasive.

Regarding Applicant's arguments related to ODP rejection over US Patent 7,153,622: as it was explained previously chemical structure of PHA claimed by US Patent 7,153,622 substantially same or identical to chemical structures claimed by concerning

Application/Control Number: 10/532,137 Page 4

Art Unit: 1711

Application. Same related to use of claimed PHA as a part of the toner by both – concerning Application and US Patent 7,153,622.

Therefore, Applicants arguments found not persuasive.

### Conclusion

### THIS ACTION IS NOT MADE FINAL.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gennadiy Mesh whose telephone number is (571) 272 2901. The examiner can normally be reached on 10 a.m - 6 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272 1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Gennadiy Mesh Examiner Art Unit 1711

James J. Seidleck Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700 OS/15/07